

**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER****JUL 03 2007****REMARKS**

Reconsideration is requested with respect to the rejection of dependent claim 9. It states wherein the reordered blocks include a first reordered block of a first block size and a second reordered block of a second block size which is different from the first block size. Thus, the claim calls for a plurality of reordered blocks wherein at least two of those reordered blocks are different sizes.


The Office action suggests that this is taught in Candlore, wherein the reordered blocks are "of any block sizes", citing column 22, lines 17-32. Even if Candlore taught using "any" block size, which is certainly debatable, he does not teach using different block sizes within the same reordered set. Namely, suppose Candlore said you could use two bytes, four bytes, or six bytes, but presumed that you would use the same block sizes for all the reordered blocks. This still would not reach the claimed invention.

It does not appear that there is any basis to believe that Candlore taught that different block sizes could be used within the same set. To the contrary, he seems to teach exactly the opposite, and particularly with the material cited as the basis for the rejection. For example, at column 22, lines 15 and 16, he talks about "eight bytes per block", clearly implying all of the blocks are eight bytes. It is stated at column 22, line 28 that blocks do not have to be eight bytes. But this does not mean that the blocks could be different numbers of bytes within the same ordered set. Still in the same material, he again talks about "eight bytes per block" at column 22, line 36.

Thus, there seems to be no basis for the assertion that Candlore teaches using reordered sets of blocks wherein the reordered blocks have different block sizes. To the contrary, Candlore teaches explicitly away and suggests that all the blocks are of the same size. Therefore reconsideration of the rejection of claim 9 is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 3, 2007

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Timothy M. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994  
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.  
1616 S. Voss Road, Suite 750  
Houston, TX 77057  
713/468-8880 [Phone]  
713/468-8883 [Fax]